THE INTERSECTION OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE & LAW FIRM RISK MANAGEMENT

The Seminar Group "Best of CLE"
November 18, 2010
Portland

Mark J. Fucile
Fucile & Reising LLP
mark@frllp.com
503.224.4895
www.frllp.com



INTRODUCTION

- 1. Attorney-Client Privilege within Firms
- 2. The "Fiduciary Exception"
- 3. Boundaries of the Exception
- 4. Practical Impacts of the Exception



PERSPECTIVE & DISCLOSURE

▶ Perspective

▶ Disclosure



THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE WITHIN LAW FIRMS

- VersusLaw, Inc. v. Stoel Rives LLP,
 127 Wn. App. 309, 111 P.3d 866 (2005)
- United States v. Rowe,96 F.3d 1294 (9th Cir. 1996)



THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE WITHIN LAW FIRMS

When does the privilege apply?

- ► The "standard" requisites for privilege must be present
- The conversation must be with either a designated internal ethics or claims attorney or the equivalent



THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE WITHIN LAW FIRMS

When does the privilege not apply?

- ► The "standard" requisites for privilege aren't present
- Simply a conversation between firm lawyers whose conduct is at issue



THE FIDUCIARY EXCEPTION RECOGNIZED A Short History

- Not a new concept generally: Concept goes back to English trust law
- Not a new concept as applied to law firms either: In re Sunrise Securities Litigation, 130 F.R.D. 560 (E.D. Pa. 1989)



A Short History Continued...

- The quiet period following Sunrise Securities
- Bank Brussels Lambert v. Credit Lyonnais, 220 F. Supp.2d 283 (S.D.N.Y. 2002)
- Koen Book Distributors v. Powell, Trachtman, 212 F.R.D. 283 (E.D. Pa. 2002)



The Fiduciary Exception
Comes to the Northwest

VersusLaw, Inc. v. Stoel Rives LLP, 127 Wn. App. 309, 111 P.3d 866 (2005)

(Division 1, SC review denied)



VersusLaw

- **►** The Facts
- **▶** The Procedural Posture
- **►** The Holding



Cases Nationally Since VersusLaw

- Thelen Reid & Priest v. Marland, 2007 WL 578989 (N.D. Cal. 2007)
- Burns v. Hale and Dorr LLP, 242 F.R.D. 170 (D. Mass. 2007)



More Cases Nationally . . .

- In re SONICblue Inc.,
 2008 WL 170562 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2008)
- Asset Funding Group v. Adams & Reese, LLP, 2008 WL 4948835 (E.D. La. 2008), 2009 WL 1605190 (E.D. La. 2009)



Does the Exception "Prove the Rule"?

- Nationally
- Northwest



BOUNDARIES OF THE EXCEPTION

Consultation Doesn't Automatically Equal Conflict

- ► ABA Formal Ethics Op. 08-453 (2008)
- NY State Bar Ethics Op. 789 (2005)



BOUNDARIES OF THE EXCEPTION

Internal v. Outside Counsel

- "Self-Representation" and RPC 1.10(a) (the "firm unit rule")
- Application to date
- VersusLaw on remand



BOUNDARIES OF THE EXCEPTION

After the relationship ends

- Boundary defined by the rationale for the exception
- Withdrawal as (an imperfect) solution



PRACTICAL IMPACTS OF THE EXCEPTION

Importance of establishing privilege in the first place

- Formally designating internal counsel
- Don't mix the "attorney" and "client" sides
- Bill internal time to the firm, not the client
- Giving and keeping the advice confidential



PRACTICAL IMPACTS OF THE EXCEPTION

Giving Advice in the Face of Likely Waiver Claims

- Recognize that advice may become "public"
- The practical impacts of email
- Conflict waivers and discovery
- Procedural posture of privilege disputes



SUMMING UP

The importance of internal counsel

► The importance of educating firm lawyers (and firm management) about the exception



FOR FURTHER READING

- "Keeping Counsel: The Attorney-Client Privilege within Law Firms" January 2006 WSBA Bar News
- "Inside Counsel: The Attorney-Client Privilege within Law Firms" November 2007 DRI For the Defense
- "The Double Edged Sword: Internal Law Firm Privilege and the 'Fiduciary Exception'"
 July 2009 IADC Defense Counsel Journal



QUESTIONS?

