# INADVERTENT PRODUCTION DEVELOPMENTS

Washington State Bar Association
New Developments in Discovery-Related Ethics
October 6, 2010

Mark J. Fucile

Fucile & Reising LLP mark@frllp.com 503.224.4895 www.frllp.com



### <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Old Times
 (When paper reigned supreme):
 "Finders Keepers, Losers Weepers"

New Times (When email reigns supreme): "Gold Nugget or Rotten Egg?"



## THREE QUESTIONS

1. **Ethics**: Do we have to notify?

2. **Procedure**: How do we raise waiver?

3. **Evidence**: Has privilege been waived?



## **ETHICS**

The way it was....

- **►** ABA Ethics Opinions 92-368 & 94-382
- WSBA Informal Ethics Opinions 1544 (1993) & 1779 (1997)

## **ETHICS**

- ► ABA Model Rule 4.4(b)
- **►** ABA Ethics Opinions 05-437 & 06-440
- ► RPC 4.4(b)
  - $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  Duty to notify
  - √ Litigating waiver left to procedural law
  - √ Waiver left to evidence law

## **PROCEDURE**

The way it was....

- In re Firestorm 1991,129 Wn.2d 130, 916 P.2d 411 (1996)
- Richards v. Jain,168 F. Supp.2d 1195 (W.D. Wash. 2001)



## **PROCEDURE**

- (Since December 2006) FRCP 26(b)(5)(B):
  - √ Return/destroy/sequester
  - √ Don't use until waiver resolved
  - $\sqrt{\phantom{0}}$  Can file under seal for waiver review
  - √ Now encourages "claw back" agreements
- New (as of January 2010) CR 26(b)(6)
  - $\sqrt{\text{Modeled on the federal rule}}$



#### The way it was....

Harris v. Drake,
 152 Wn.2d 480, 99 P.3d 872 (2004)
 (Alexander, C.J. dissenting)

Banks v. U.S.,
 2005 WL 974723 (W.D. Wash. 2005)
 (unpublished; compiling cases)



- Sitterson v. Evergreen School Dist. No. 114, 147 Wn. App. 576, 196 P.3d 735 (2008) (Div. 2)
  - √ Reasonableness of the precautions
  - $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  Amount of time taken to remedy the error
  - **√** Scope of discovery
  - **√** Extent of the disclosure
  - **√** Fairness

- ► (Since September 2008) FRE 502(b)
  - √ Disclosure is inadvertent
  - √ Reasonable steps to prevent disclosure
  - √ Reasonable steps to rectify

- ► New (as of September 2010) ER 502
  - **√** Disclosure is inadvertent
  - √ Reasonable steps to prevent disclosure
  - √ Reasonable steps to rectify
  - Modeled on the federal rule



# GOLD NUGGET TO ROTTEN EGG

Richards v. Jain,168 F. Supp.2d 1195 (W.D. Wash. 2001)

Disqualification risk to the recipient



#### FOR FURTHER READING

- "Inadvertent Production Revisited (Again)"
  - —October 2009 WSBA Bar News
- "The Shifting Sands of Inadvertent Production"
  - -Winter 2009 OADC Magazine
- "Inadvertent Production: Where We've Been & Where We're Going"
  - —February 2008 DRI For the Defense



## QUESTIONS?